

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

08.

O.A. No. 251 of 2010

Ex. Sigm. Nirankar Giri

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner: Mr. Rohit Pratap, Advocate.

For respondents: Mr. Anil Gautam, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. S.S.DHILLON, MEMBER.

ORDER
03.02.2012

Petitioner vide this petition has prayed that representation pending with the respondents may be directed to be disposed of within time bound period.

Petitioner was discharged from service and he had more than four red ink entries in his account and he has put in 13 years 282 days of service.

In the reply, respondents have stated that deficiency in completion of tenure of service for pension can be condoned to the extent of one year but in the present case deficiency period in service is more than one year and that cannot be condoned.

As such even if, we direct the respondents to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner, nothing is going to be turned on that account in view of the reply filed by the respondents. Hence, there is nothing survives in the petition. Same is dismissed. No order as to costs.

A.K. MATHUR
(Chairperson)

S.S. DHILLON
(Member)

New Delhi
February 03, 2012mk